	Case 3:19-md-02913-WHO Do	cument 30	053 F	iled 04/22/22	Page 1 of 4					
1										
2										
3										
4										
5										
6										
7										
8										
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT									
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA									
11	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION									
12	IN RE: JUUL LABS, INC., MARKETING SALES PRACTICE AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION)) Case No. 3:19-MD-2913-WHO)							
13)		onorable Willian						
14)	GRAN	ITING JLI'S N	PROPOSED] ORDI MOTION TO DISM	ISS				
1516	This Document Relates to All Plaintiffs Identified in Exhibit A))	 WITHOUT PREJUDICE FOR FAILURE TO SUBMIT DISCOVERY REQUIRED BY CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER NO. 8 							
17		ntijjs))	This D	ocument Relate	s To: All Actions					
18)	The Ho	on. William H. (Orrick					
19)								
20										
21										
22										
23										
24										
25										
26										
27										
28										

CASE NO. 3:19-md-2913-WHO

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Case 3:19-md-02913-WHO Document 3053 Filed 04/22/22 Page 2 of 4

Before the Court is the Motion by Defendant Juul Labs, Inc. to Dismiss the Complaints filed by All Plaintiffs Identified in Exhibit A (the "Motion to Dismiss") (Dkt. Nos. 2778)¹ in the above referenced, consolidated actions. Having considered the motion and arguments of the parties, and with good cause appearing therefore, the Court hereby GRANTS the Motion to Dismiss and DISMISSES the Complaints filed by all plaintiffs identified in Exhibit A without prejudice. IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: April 19, 2022

Honorable William H. Orrick United States District Judge

¹ The Plaintiffs identified in Dkt. No. 2811 have all served Plaintiff Fact Sheets or voluntarily dismissed their cases. Accordingly, Dkt. No. 2811 is TERMINATED as MOOT.

Exhibit A

Case 3:19-md-02913-WHO Document 3053 Filed 04/22/22 Page 4 of 4

Count	Plaintiff	Case Name	Case Number	Counsel	Date MTD Filed	MTD ECF Number
1.	Fujinami, Rachelle	Rachelle Fujinami v. Juul Labs, Inc. et al.	3:21-cv- 06876	Fears Nachawati, PLLC	1/18/22	2778
2.	Kane- Cartuccio, Richard	Richard Kane- Cartuccio v. Juul Labs, Inc. et al.	3:21-cv- 07060	Schlichter, Bogard & Denton, LLP	1/18/22	2778